Are There Olistoliths on the Eperkés Hill? The Paleomagnetic Answer
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Epekés Hill is a classic exposure of the Jurassic-Lower Cretaceous successions in the Transdanubian Range, Hungary. Although the exposures of the Hill have been thoroughly studied during the last 40 years, facies interpretation is still subject of debate. According to some (Fülöp 1964, Konda 1970, Császár 1988a, 1988b) Upper Jurassic beds overlay the eroded surface of the Upper Triassic–lowermost Jurassic carbonates. In contrast, Galácz (1989) suggested that the Upper Triassic–lowermost Jurassic carbonates are large blocks (megabreccias or olistoliths) embedded into the Kimmeridgian through Berriasian limestones.

Recently, the results of new resistivity measurements (Palo-tai et al., in press) were interpreted as geophysical support for the megabreccia concept, since high resistivity patches (probably platform carbonates) seemed to swim in low resistivity material (probably pelagic limestones).

As the existence or absence of megabreccia is crucial for the interpretation of the structural evolution and paleogeography of the Transdanubian Range at the Jurassic-Cretaceous boundary, we decided to apply an other, independent geophysical method, paleomagnetism, to the same problem.

We collected paleomagnetic samples from two artificial exposures, from a 107 m long trench and from a large unearthed rock surface, both consisting of regular beds of Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous limesones and of the suspected megabreccia horizon. We drilled several beds below and above the “megabreccia” horizon, respectively, and several points in suspected olistoliths. From every sampled bed and from every point three or more cores were taken so that the consistency of the paleomagnetic signal on site level could be checked. The samples were subjected to standard paleomagnetic laboratory processing (demagnetization was mostly carried out with the thermal method) and evaluation.

We found that magnetic parameters, like NRM intensities and susceptibilities are distinctly different for Upper Jurassic–Aptian beds of “normal” stratigraphic setting, on one hand, and for suspected olistoliths, on the other hand. The first group is characterized by NRM intensities of 2.1–12.5 mA/m and positive susceptibilities, while the same parameters for the second group are 0.04 to 0.43 mA/m and negative values, respectively. The paleomagnetic signal is highly consistent within nearly every site, no matter if they are regular beds or suspected olistoliths. However, there is a great difference in between-site consistency, which is extremely high for regular beds, but is non-existent for the sites of the “megabreccia” horizon. Thus, our results confirm that large blocks of late Triassic-Early Jurassic limestones were moved and re-deposited during the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous in the Transdanubian Range, therefore the geodynamic conditions must have been the same as in the Northern Calcareous Alps.
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